

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/749,128	12/27/2000	Yeong-Taeg Kim	SAM1.0081 5001		
23386 7590 01/23/2007 MYERS DAWES ANDRAS & SHERMAN, LLP 19900 MACARTHUR BLVD., SUITE 1150 IRVINE, CA 92612			EXAMINER		
			BUI, KIEU OANH T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2623		
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/749,128	KIM, YEONG-TAEG		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KIEU-OANH BUI	2623		

		1020	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the s this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with time periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, a f Appeal (with appeal fee) ir	affidavit, or other eviden n compliance with 37 CF	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the	final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). On	an SIX MONTHS from the mail ILY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TI	ing date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	•	420(2) and the annualist	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wh have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amour ned statutory period for reply or	nt of the fee. The appropria iginally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr	ior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will not be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consider			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	•	•	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or	rm for appeal by materially i	educing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corres	sponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se	ee attached Notice of Non-C	Compliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			•
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowab non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	le if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  wi how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ll not be entered, or b) 🔲 v below or appended.	vill be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befo because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffi was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overco showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	me <u>all</u> rejections under app was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fail See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of th REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	e status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See Continuation Sheet.	NOT place the application	in condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/	SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13.  Other:	<i>(</i>	B Kamil	
		VIELLOANIL BUIL	
		KIEU-OANH BUI Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 2623

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the regular television program of Hendricks is being interrupted by the commercial advertisement and the present application calls for (in independent claims) background commercials which are "available and viewable anytime, even when a regular program is being displayed (i.e., the Background Commercials are carried in the background of the regular (non-commercial programming). However, please note that even though the specification are defined what "background commercials" are, yet the claims must specifically state and further defined the "background commercials" to avoid any assumption and/or misunderstood/misinterpretation of the claims. The examiner found no evidence within at least independent claims to further describe background commercials which are "available and viewable anytime even when a regular program is being displayed. This constituites a main argument from the applicant and repeatedly again and again through out the arguments. Therefore, in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., background commercials which are available and viewable anytime, even when a regular program is being displayed) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Furthermore, the election/rejection by original presentation of claims 26-33 is proper and valid since the features of "requiring user permission only", "background commercials with audio bit streams", "notifications the user as ...the user receives background commercials" and "recording the additional audio-visual information of the background commercials" are clearly new subject matters that requires the examiner to further update the search and look for these new features, which would continue to give extra burdens on the examiner forever if these practices would not cease. For example, 10 claims are originally presented, then another set of new 15 claims with new features might be added, if no election requirement occurs, there would be more claims to come.

> KIEU-OANH BUI BRIMARY EXAMINER